

APPENDIX B - SUMMARY OF DECISION ON MOTION TO DISMISS FEDERAL ANTITRUST CLAIMS¹

Dismissal Granted²		Dismissal Denied	
Counts I/II (Section 2)	Count IV (Section 1)	Counts I/II (Section 2)	Count III (Section 1)
Encrypted User IDs [Op. at 381-83]	Facebook Network Bidding Agreement [Op. at 370-77]	Enhanced Dynamic Allocation (EDA) [Op. at 386-87]	Tying [Op. at 367-70]
Reserve Price Optimization (RPO) [Op. at 391-93]		Bernanke and Bell [Op. at 387-89]	
Exchange Bidding [Op. at 393-95]		Redaction of auction data and limitations on line items [Op. at 395-97]	
Accelerated Mobile Pages (AMP) [Op. at 398-99]		Poirot [Op. at 397-98]	
Privacy Sandbox* [Op. at 399-400]		Elmo [Op. at 397-98]	
Dynamic Allocation (DA)** [Op. at 384-85, 402-03]		Unified Pricing Rules (UPR) [Op. at 400-02]	
Dynamic Revenue Sharing (DRS)** [Op. at 389-91, 402-03]		Tying [Op. at 402]	

¹ All citations are to Judge Castel's ruling on Google's motion to dismiss State Plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint, *In re Google Digit. Advert. Antitrust Litig.*, 627 F. Supp. 3d 346 (S.D.N.Y. 2022) ["Op."].

² Star (*) indicates that the court found that the conduct was not ripe for adjudication. Two stars (**) indicates that the court found that the conduct was plausibly alleged but concluded that State Plaintiffs could not obtain injunctive relief because the conduct ended in 2019.